A catchment-scale assessment of stream temperature response to contemporary forest harvesting in the Oregon Coast Range
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Abstract

Historical forest harvesting practices, where the riparian canopy was removed, generally increased energy loading to the stream and produced higher stream temperatures. As such, contemporary forest management practices require maintenance of streamside vegetation as riparian management areas, with an important function of providing shade and minimizing solar radiation loading to streams to mitigate stream water temperature changes. The Alsea Watershed Study Revisited in the Oregon Coast Range provided a unique opportunity to investigate and compare the stream temperature responses to contemporary forest harvesting practices (i.e., maintenance of riparian vegetation) with the impacts from historical (1960s) harvesting practices (i.e., no riparian vegetation). Here we present an analysis of 6 years (3 years pre-harvest and 3 years post-harvest) of summer stream temperature data from a reference (Flynn Creek) and a harvested catchment (Needle Branch). There was no evidence that the (a) 7-day moving mean of daily maximum ($T_{7\text{DAYMAX}}$) stream temperature, (b) mean daily stream temperature, or (c) diel stream temperature changed in the study stream reaches following contemporary forest harvesting practices. The only parameter of interest that changed after forest harvesting was the $T_{7\text{DAYMAX}}$ when analyses were constrained to the Oregon regulatory period of July 15 to August 15 and all sites in each catchment were grouped together—in this case stream temperature increased $0.6 \pm 0.2 \degree C (p = 0.002)$. However, over the entire post-harvest study period, the warmest maximum daily stream temperature observed in Needle Branch was $14.7 \degree C$—in the original Alsea Watershed Study, maximum daily stream temperatures rose to $21.7 \degree C$ (1966) and $29.4 \degree C$ (1967) in the first two post-harvest years, providing evidence that current harvesting practices have improved protection for stream water temperatures.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Preventing or mitigating changes in the thermal regime following land use activities, such as forest harvesting, is a primary focus of contemporary forest watershed management (Hester and Doyle, 2011). Historical research has shown that forest harvesting, where the riparian canopy is removed, can increase energy loading to the stream and produce higher stream temperatures (Levno and Rothacher, 1967; Moore et al., 2005; Studinski et al., 2012). The original Alsea Watershed Study (AWS; 1958–1973) in the Oregon Coast Range demonstrated that clear-cut harvesting with complete removal of riparian vegetation can result in dramatic changes in mean daily, maximum daily, diurnal variation, and annual patterns in stream temperature (Brown and Krygier, 1970). Strips of vegetation left along Deer Creek in the original AWS also demonstrated the benefit of streamside trees for reducing the impacts of forest harvesting on stream temperature (Brown and Krygier, 1970; Ice et al., 2004; Ice, 2008). Results from this historical research were instrumental to the creation of the Oregon Forest Practices Act of 1971, which called for retention of streamside vegetation (18–30 m riparian management zones) in private harvest units as a best management practice for the maintenance of water quality and aquatic habitat (Ice and Stednick, 2004).

One of the most desirable functions of riparian areas is to maintain water temperatures after forest harvesting by minimizing solar radiation input to streams (Hester and Doyle, 2011). This is because stream temperature is one of the most important physical water quality parameters that can influence the structural and functional characteristics of stream and river aquatic ecosystems (Vannote et al., 1980; Poole and Berman, 2001; Clarke, 2006). Stream temperatures affect the metabolic and physical processes of aquatic organisms (Brown et al., 2004; Leach et al., 2012), the behavioral ecology of aquatic organisms (Torgersen et al., 1999;
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2. Methods

2.1. Site description

The Alsea Paired Watershed Study Revisited (44.5°N, 123.9°W)
was constructed as a paired-watershed study (Fig. 1), with a refer-
ce catchment (Flynn Creek, 219 ha) and a nearby treatment
catchment (Needle Branch, 94 ha), which was harvested in 2009
with RMAs according to the Oregon Forest Practices Act (OFPA)
(Table 1). The study area is located in the Siuslaw National Forest
in the Oregon Coast Range, which is highly-dissected and moun-
tainous and characterized by short, steep, soil-mantled hillslopes.
Both catchments are underlain by Eocene Tyee Formation sand-
stone and siltstone. Mean elevation in Flynn Creek is 280 m and in
Needle Branch is 220 m. The mean gradient of Flynn Creek is
27.9°, while Needle Branch is considerably steeper at 37.0°.
Drainage density in Flynn Creek is 0.47 km km⁻², while in
Needle Branch it is 1.01 km km⁻². In Flynn Creek, the mean wetted
width was 1.34 m ± 0.11 SD with mean maximum pool depths of
0.25 m ± 0.03 SD and mean maximum riffle depths of 0.09 m ±
0.02 SD. In Needle Branch, the mean wetted width was
1.11 m ± 0.15 SD with mean maximum pool depths of 0.25 m ±
0.04 SD and mean maximum riffle depths of 0.07 m ± 0.02 SD.
Stream wetted widths and depths are representative of typical
summer baseflow conditions, during the peak summer tempera-
ture period, in the Oregon Coast Range. The channel substrate in
Flynn Creek primarily consisted of gravels (42.6% ± 0.08 SD) and
fines (<1 mm; 19.1% ± 0.04 SD) with lesser amounts of cobbles,
boulders, and bedrock. Similarly, Needle Branch is also primarily
gravels (45.0% ± 0.10 SD) and fines (<1 mm; 28.9% ± 0.08 SD) with
occasional cobbles, boulders, and bedrock. Catchments are
principal south facing, with mean slope aspects of 188° in Flynn
Creek and 189° in Needle Branch.
Forest vegetation in Needle Branch was primarily even-age
(44-yr-old), dominated by Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) with
patches of red alder (Alnus rubra) along the riparian corridors.
Forest vegetation in Flynn Creek is ~155-yr-old Douglas-fir with
stands of red alder dominating the riparian corridor. Study
catchments support fish communities of coastal cutthroat trout
(Oncorhynchus clarkii clarkii), coho salmon (O. kisutch), reticulate
sculpin (Cottus perplexus), western brook lamprey (Lampetra
richardsoni), and Pacific lamprey (L. tridentata).
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along small, non-fish-bearing tributaries that join to form main-
stream Needle Branch just above a waterfall that forms the upstream
limit of fish distribution. On the fish-bearing portion of the stream,
a ~15 m riparian management area (RMA) was retained on each side
of Needle Branch in accordance with the Oregon Forest Practices
Act and Rules (ODF, 1994). This resulted in a minimum of
~3.7 m² conifer basal area retained for every ~300 m of stream
length. In addition, ~4-5 wildlife leave trees per hectare were
retained within the RMA, as recommended by the Oregon Forest
Practices Act (Adams and Storm, 2011). Mean canopy closure, as
measured with a densiometer, along the stream channel in the
harvested portion of Needle Branch was reduced from ~56% in the
pre-harvest period to ~89% in the post-harvest period. Com-
paratively, mean canopy closure along the stream channel in Flynn
Creek was ~92% in the pre-harvest period and ~91% in the post-
harvest period.

(1) Did the 7-day moving mean of daily maximum (T_{7-DAWMAX})
stream temperature change following contemporary forest
harvesting?
(2) Did mean daily stream temperature (T_{MEAN}) change following
contemporary forest harvesting?
(3) Did the diel stream temperature (T_{DIAB}) change following
contemporary forest harvesting?
2.2. Stream temperature measurements

Stream temperature ($T_s$) thermistors in Needle Branch were located within the harvested portion (within a stream reach with riparian vegetation retained) of the upper catchment (NB7), mid-catchment above the outlet of the harvested portion of the catchment (NB6), and below the harvest, within the unharvested portion of the catchment (NB2) (Fig. 1). In Flynn Creek, $T_s$ thermistors were also located in the upper (FC12), mid (FC6), and lower (FC2) reaches of the stream (Fig. 1). Sites were paired beginning with the uppermost thermistors (i.e., FC12 and NB7) – additional thermistor pairs across the control (Flynn Creek) and harvested (Needle Branch) catchments were selected at a thalweg distance between thermistor deployments on each stream of approximately

---

**Fig. 1.** Map of the Alsea Watershed Study catchments (Flynn Creek and Needle Branch), including locations of the stream temperature measurement sites and the harvested area.
400–500 m (i.e., FC6 and NB6; FC2 and NB2). Measurements were taken at 30-min intervals using Onset TidbiT water temperature data loggers (UTBI-001, Onset Corporation, Bourne, MA; accuracy ±0.21 °C). Prior to deployment each season, data loggers were calibrated against each other and tested for responsiveness in a controlled environment by placing in a slurry of water and ice for 30 min at a high sampling frequency. Loggers that were non-responsive or recorded temperatures outside of the specifications (i.e., ±0.21 °C) were replaced with new loggers. Loggers were deployed from mid-June or early July to early September to measure during the warmest time of the year through both the pre-harvest (2006–2008; Fig. 2) and post-harvest (2010–2012; Fig. 3) periods. Temperature sensors were shielded from direct solar radiation by placing in rock cairns with the ends open parallel to stream flow to ensure good mixing.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Using a paired before-after control-impact (BACIP) design, paired sites between the reference (Flynn Creek) and harvested (Needle Branch) catchments were analyzed to detect changes in the 7-day moving mean of the daily maximum stream temperature ($T_{7\text{DAMAX}}$), mean daily stream temperature ($T_{\text{DAM}}$), and diel stream temperature fluctuation ($T_{\text{DIHEL}}$) due to time (pre- vs. post-harvest period), treatment (control catchment and harvested catchment), and the interaction of treatment and time (BACI effect). All data were analyzed using the restricted maximum likelihood estimation (REML) in a random-intercept, linear mixed-effects model with the nlme package (Pinheiro et al., 2016) in R (R Core Team, 2014). The model form was:

$$T_s = \text{period} + \text{location} + \text{BACI} + (\sim 1|\text{Water Year/Site}) + e_t$$

where $e_t$ represents the ARMA-corrected error term selected using corARMA(). To account for the repeated sampling of fixed spatial locations (pseudoreplication) and autocorrelation (non-independence) of stream temperature observations, autoregressive-moving average (ARMA) terms were included in the model. ARMA terms $(p,q)$ were allowed to vary from 0 to 4, such that Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) was minimized. Residual plots, autocorrelation function plots, and partial autocorrelation plots were consulted to determine model stationarity and ARMA coefficient appropriateness. The resulting ARMA structures for all metrics were $(p,q)=(1,1)$. The fixed effects in the model were period (temporal stream temperature differences from the pre-harvest period to the post-harvest period in the reference catchment [Flynn Creek]), location (difference in the mean of the analyzed temperature metric during the pre-harvest period between Flynn Creek and Needle Branch), and BACI (difference in the mean of the analyzed temperature metric between the pre-harvest period in Flynn Creek and the post-harvest period in Needle Branch; interaction between period and location).

While the intent was to test the fixed effects, the model also needed to account for the random effects (i.e., temporal and spatial

![Fig. 2. Pre-harvest (2006–2008) July to September stream temperature ($T_s$) at longitudinal sites on Flynn Creek (FC12, FC6, FC2; reference) and Needle Branch (NB7, NB6, NB2; harvested) at 30 min intervals.](image)
variability). By using the likelihood ratio test to compare models using different random effects, the nesting of site within year provided the best model fit as opposed to either site or year as independent random effects. The inclusion of this random effect accounted for year-to-year variability in the data. To test the size of fixed effects, the Wald test was performed on the results of the model. The results of the model provide coefficient estimates, which indicate the differences in means of the analyzed metric due to each fixed factor individually as well as the interaction of the two (the BACI effect). While the model coefficients indicate differences in means between subgroups of data, use of the Wald test provides a way to compare the effect sizes of these fixed factors to determine their impact on the data. Thus, results of the different models are reported as factor coefficient ± SE, p-value of F-statistic. Through these procedures, assessment of the strength of evidence regarding the effects on stream temperature due to the period of observation (pre-harvest or post-harvest), the location (control catchment or treatment catchment), or the forest harvest itself (post-harvest, treatment basin) could be completed while accounting for both annual and site variability.

3. Results

3.1. Meteorology and discharge

During the pre-harvest period (2006–2008), the July to September mean daily air temperature ($T_a$) from a centrally located meteorological station was 14.0 °C and mean daily maximum $T_a$ was 21.9 °C (Table 2). In the post-harvest period (2010–2012), the July to September mean daily $T_a$ was 14.0 °C and mean daily maximum $T_a$ was 21.4 °C (Table 2). Mean annual precipitation in the pre-harvest years was 2486 mm, with ~75.1 mm (range: 61.5–96.0 mm) falling during the stream temperature measurement period of July to September. Similarly, in the post-harvest years mean annual precipitation was 2502 mm with an average of 61.7 mm (range: 21.1–106.9 mm) falling from July to September. As such, the majority of precipitation (~85%) falls as rain from October through April during long-duration, low-to-moderate intensity frontal storms.

Pre-harvest mean discharge in Needle Branch during the stream temperature measurement period of July to September was 1.6 L s$^{-1}$ ± 0.1 SE. During the post-harvest period mean discharge (July to September) in Needle Branch was 3.8 L s$^{-1}$ ± 0.1 SE. While baseflow was elevated in the post-harvest years compared to the pre-harvest years, high flows remained relatively stable across the period of study (Fig. 4).
3.2. Stream temperature

During the pre-harvest period (2006–2008), the 7-day moving mean of the daily maximum stream temperature \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) from July to September was \( -0.4 \pm 1.0 ^\circ C \) cooler in Needle Branch sites (harvested) compared to paired sites in Flynn Creek (reference) (Fig. 5). Statistical analysis of \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) in the pre-harvest period provided evidence that the Needle Branch sites were innately cooler compared to paired Flynn Creek sites in the mid-catchment (NB6 v. FC6) and lower catchment (NB2 v. FC2), while there was no evidence of a difference in \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) between the upper catchment sites (NB7 v. FC12) (Table 3, location effect). Across all sites, statistical analysis of the \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) also indicated that Needle Branch was generally cooler than Flynn Creek in the pre-harvest period \( (-0.7 \pm 0.2 ^\circ C, p = 0.01) \).

From the pre-harvest to the post-harvest period the \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) cooled in all stream reaches in Flynn Creek (range: 0.6–1.0 \(^\circ C\)) and in Needle Branch (range: 0.1–0.3 \(^\circ C\)) (Fig. 5). However, there was no evidence that the cooling in the reference catchment was statistically different across periods at each of the individual sites (Table 3, period effect) or across all of the Flynn Creek sites combined \( (-0.8 \pm 0.3 ^\circ C, p = 0.06) \). Comparisons of descriptive statistics of \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) across the paired sites indicated that site pairs became more similar from the pre-harvest to the post-harvest period, but the \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) remained \( -0.1 \pm 0.5 ^\circ C \) cooler in Needle Branch sites compared to paired sites in Flynn Creek (Fig. 5). Despite more similar \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) in the post-harvest period, model analysis across all sites also indicated that Needle Branch remained cooler than Flynn Creek \( (-0.3 \pm 0.2 ^\circ C, F = 7.0, p = 0.01) \).

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Model coefficients</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept S.E.</td>
<td>F p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB7-FC12 (upper catchment)</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>-0.7 0.5</td>
<td>2.8 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>-0.3 0.5</td>
<td>0.4 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BACI</td>
<td>0.2 0.7</td>
<td>0.1 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB6-FC6 (mid-catchment)</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>-0.7 0.5</td>
<td>2.4 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>-0.7 0.5</td>
<td>2.2 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BACI</td>
<td>0.4 0.7</td>
<td>0.4 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB2-FC2 (lower catchment)</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>-1.0 0.5</td>
<td>4.4 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>-0.9 0.5</td>
<td>3.5 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BACI</td>
<td>0.6 0.7</td>
<td>0.7 0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Discharge (L s\(^{-1}\)) time-series from Needle Branch from 2006 to 2012.

Fig. 4. 7-Day rolling maximum of stream temperature \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) during the pre-harvest (2006–2008; gray boxplots) and post-harvest (2010–2012; orange boxplots) years across each site in Flynn Creek (FC, reference) and Needle Branch (NB, harvested). The solid line represents the standard boxplot median, while the dashed white line represents the mean. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
To isolate potential harvesting effects on \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \), the site pairs were correlated against each other prior to investigating the BACI effect in the model. The \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) was weakly to moderately correlated between the paired sites in the reference and harvested catchments both before and after timber harvest, generally increasing in the downstream sites (Fig. 6). After accounting for the intrinsic annual and site variability, individual pairwise comparisons between the upper, mid, and lower catchment pairs did not indicate increases to \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) (July to September) in Needle Branch following harvesting (Table 3, BACI effect). Similarly, there was no evidence that the \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) changed following harvesting activity when comparing across all catchment sites combined (0.4 ± 0.4 °C, \( p = 0.27 \)).

Constraining the time period of analyses to the current Oregon regulatory period of July 15 to August 15, the \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) was ~0.6–1.3 °C cooler in Needle Branch sites (harvested) compared to paired sites in Flynn Creek (reference) during the pre-harvest period (~1.0 ± 0.1 °C, \( p < 0.001 \)). Despite the \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) in the Needle Branch sites remaining ~0.3–0.5 °C cooler than in Flynn Creek during the post-harvest period (regulatory time frame only) (~0.4 ± 0.1 °C, \( p = 0.004 \)), the difference between the catchments diminished considerably. Thus, when comparing across all sites combined within each catchment, there is evidence that the \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) in the July 15 to August 15 period changed following the harvesting activity in Needle Branch (0.6 ± 0.2 °C, \( p = 0.002 \)). However, after accounting for the intrinsic annual and site variability, individual pairwise comparisons between the upper (0.3 ± 0.3 °C, \( p = 0.31 \)), mid- (0.7 ± 0.4 °C, \( p = 0.17 \)), and lower catchment pairs (0.8 ± 0.3 °C, \( p = 0.06 \)) did not indicate increases in the \( T_{7\text{DAYMAX}} \) in Needle Branch after harvesting.

There was weak or no evidence that the pre-harvest (July to September) mean daily stream temperature (\( T_{\text{DAY}} \)) was cooler in any of the Needle Branch sites compared to paired sites in Flynn Creek (Table 4, location effect). Combining all of the sites together within each catchment, indicated that \( T_{\text{DAY}} \) was ~0.2–0.4 °C cooler in the Needle Branch sites compared to paired sites in Flynn Creek (Fig. 7). As such, the \( T_{\text{DAY}} \) was considered to be statistically dissimilar between Needle Branch and Flynn Creek in the pre-harvest period (~0.3 ± 0.1 °C, \( p = 0.01 \)).

There was no evidence that the post-harvest (2010–2012) \( T_{\text{DAY}} \) at each of the individual sites in Flynn Creek was different from the pre-harvest \( T_{\text{DAY}} \) (Table 4, period effect). Similarly, there was no evidence that \( T_{\text{DAY}} \) had changed significantly from the pre-harvest to the post-harvest period across all of the Flynn Creek sites combined (~0.5 ± 0.3 °C, \( p = 0.22 \)). In comparisons with paired sites in Flynn Creek, the descriptive statistics indicated that the \( T_{\text{DAY}} \) remained, on average, ~0.2 °C cooler in the two downstream Needle Branch sites (NB2 and NB6) during the post-harvest period. However, the \( T_{\text{DAY}} \) at the upstream site in Needle Branch (NB7) compared to its paired site in Flynn Creek (FC12)
was approximately the same temperature (Fig. 7). Because these differences were small, comparisons across all sites combined within each catchment indicated that the $TDAY$ in Needle Branch was generally similar to Flynn Creek in the post-harvest period ($-0.1 \pm 0.1 \, ^\circ C$; $p = 0.28$). This observation represents a slight deviation from pre-harvest conditions, where Needle Branch was statistically cooler than Flynn Creek.

To isolate potential harvesting effects on $TDAY$, the site pairs were correlated against each other prior to investigating the BACI effect in the model. The $TDAY$ had moderate to strong positive correlation between the paired sites both before and after timber harvest (Fig. 6). After accounting for the inherent site and annual variability, model results indicated that there was no evidence of increased $TDAY$ at Needle Branch when compared with paired sites in Flynn Creek following harvesting (Table 4, BACI effect). Analysis of all catchment sites combined within each catchment also indicated that there was no evidence that $TDAY$ changed in Needle Branch after forest harvesting ($0.2 \pm 0.2 \, ^\circ C$; $p = 0.25$).

The mean diel stream temperature fluctuation ($TDIEL$) was generally less variable at all sites in Needle Branch compared to Flynn Creek during the pre-harvest period, ($-0.2–0.9 \, ^\circ C$; Fig. 8). Statistical analysis of $TDIEL$ in the pre-harvest period provided evidence that the Needle Branch sites were innately less variable compared to paired Flynn Creek sites in the mid-catchment (NB6 v. FC6) and lower catchment (NB2 v. FC2), while there was no evidence of a difference in $TDIEL$ between the upper catchment sites (NB7 v. FC12) (Table 5, location effect). Across all sites, statistical analysis of the $TDIEL$ also indicated that Needle Branch was generally less variable than Flynn Creek in the pre-harvest period ($-0.6 \pm 0.1 \, ^\circ C$; $p =< 0.001$).

From the pre-harvest to the post-harvest period the mean $TDIEL$ decreased in all stream reaches in Flynn Creek (range: 0.2–0.7 $^\circ C$). However, the decrease in $TDIEL$ in the Flynn Creek was not statistically different between the pre-harvest and post-harvest period at each of the individual sites (Table 5, period effect) or across all of the Flynn Creek sites combined ($-0.4 \pm 0.2 \, ^\circ C$; $p = 0.19$). In Needle Branch, the $TDIEL$ decreased in the uppermost (NB7) and lower (NB2) stream reaches (range: 0.1–0.2 $^\circ C$), but increased in the middle stream reach at the outlet of the cutblock (NB6; 0.1 $^\circ C$) (Fig. 8). Comparisons of descriptive statistics of $TDIEL$ across the paired sites indicated that sites became more similar from the pre-harvest to the post-harvest period; but, the $TDIEL$ variability remained $0.2–0.5 \, ^\circ C$ lower in Needle Branch sites compared to paired sites in Flynn Creek (Fig. 8). Despite more similar $TDIEL$ variability in the post-harvest period, model analysis across all sites also indicated that Needle Branch still had less day-to-day variability in stream temperatures compared to Flynn Creek ($-0.3 \pm 0.1 \, ^\circ C$; $p = 0.02$).

To isolate potential harvesting effects on $TDIEL$, the site pairs were correlated against each other prior to investigating the BACI

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Model coefficients</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB7-FC12 (upper catchment)</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>$-0.5$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>$-0.2$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BACI</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB6-FC6 (mid-catchment)</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>$-0.4$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>$-0.4$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BACI</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB2-FC2 (lower catchment)</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>$-0.6$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>$-0.4$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BACI</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Mean daily stream temperature ($TDAY$) during the pre-harvest (2006–2008; gray boxplots) and post-harvest (2010–2012; orange boxplots) years across all sites in Flynn Creek (FC, reference) and Needle Branch (NB, harvested). The solid line represents the standard boxplot median, while the dashed white line represents the mean. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
effect in the model. The $T_{DEl}$ was weakly to moderately correlated between the paired sites in the reference and harvested catchments both before and after timber harvest, generally increasing in the downstream sites (Fig. 6). Again, after accounting for the inherent site and annual variability, there was no evidence that $T_{DEl}$ changed following forest harvesting at Needle Branch when compared with paired sites in Flynn Creek (Table 5, BACI effect). Analysis of all catchment sites combined also indicated that there was no evidence that $T_{DEl}$ changed in Needle Branch after forest harvesting ($0.3 ± 0.2/°C, p = 0.10$).

4. Discussion

Key physical water quality parameters, the 7-day moving mean of the daily maximum stream temperature ($T_{DAYMAX}$; Fig. 5) and mean daily stream temperature ($T_{DAY}$; Fig. 7), did not change following forest harvesting of a forested headwater catchment in the Oregon Coast Range using contemporary forest management practices (i.e., retention of riparian vegetation for provision of shade). The $T_{DAYMAX}$ and $T_{DAG}$ in the harvested stream reaches (NB7 and NB6) and downstream reach (NB2) of Needle Branch remained colder than paired reference sites in Flynn Creek both before and after forest harvesting. While the difference between Needle Branch sites and Flynn Creek sites decreased in the post-harvest period, perhaps indicating a small post-harvest warming effect in the harvested catchment, statistically there was no evidence that this shift was beyond the observed pre-harvest range. Evidence of a harvesting effect on $T_{DAYMAX}$ was only apparent when analyses were constrained to the regulatory period of July 15 to August 15 and all sites in each catchment were grouped together; however, there was no evidence of this effect when making direct comparisons of paired sites across the harvested and unharvested catchments. Moreover, the regulatory standards for the $T_{DAYMAX}$ in the state of Oregon are 16 °C for core cold-water fish rearing habitat, 18 °C for non-core juvenile rearing and migration, and 20 °C for migration of salmon and trout – these were never exceeded in Needle Branch. Conversely, the standard for core cold water habitat use of 16 °C was exceeded in Flynn Creek during the warmer pre-harvest period. It was exceeded ~6% of the time in FC12 (max: 16.5 °C) and ~5% of the time in both FC6 (max: 17.1 °C) and FC2 (max: 17.5 °C).

However, it is difficult to broadly interpret our results regarding RMA effectiveness beyond Oregon Coast Range catchments with similar geology and physiography; as stream temperatures can vary spatially and mixed warming and cooling patterns have been observed following harvesting, even when streams are well shaded (Dent et al., 2008). This is related to factors such as variability in

---

**Table 5**

Coefficients and effect sizes from the linear mixed-effects model for $T_{DEl}$ at each of the longitudinal site-pairs across the harvested (Needle Branch, NB) and reference (Flynn Creek, FC) catchments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Model coefficients</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept S.E.</td>
<td>$F$ p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB7-FC12 (upper catchment)</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>$-0.1$ 0.3 0.5</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>$-0.2$ 0.3 1.1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BACI</td>
<td>$-0.1$ 0.5 0.0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB6-FC6 (mid-catchment)</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>$-0.3$ 0.3 0.1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>$-0.9$ 0.2 17.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BACI</td>
<td>0.5 0.3 2.6</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB2-FC2 (lower catchment)</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>$-0.7$ 0.3 3.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>$-0.8$ 0.1 31.9</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BACI</td>
<td>0.5 0.2 6.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
climate, harvesting strategy, riparian buffer retention, and local stream factors (Cole and Newton, 2013). Thus, the effects of the harvesting on $T_{TDIEL}$ and $T_{DAYER}$ in this study may have been muted due to several factors, including RMA effectiveness at mitigating increased direct solar radiation loading to the stream surface (Willkerson et al., 2006; Janisch et al., 2012). Streams in this study were oriented north-south, meaning that they would be well shaded from late morning to early afternoon by the riparian canopy, which would maximize RMA effectiveness (Gomi et al., 2006) – it is uncertain if RMAs would perform as effectively in this region on streams with different aspects. Secondly, both the catchment and channel slopes were steep, which is known to result in lower rates of warming of stream water (Kasahara and Wondzell, 2003; Tague et al., 2007). The mean catchment gradient in Needle Branch was $\sim 10.9^\circ$ steeper than the reference catchment – greater hydraulic gradient, as observed in the harvested catchment, is known to increase stream water velocities and hyporheic exchange (Harvey and Bencala, 1993; Hill et al., 1998). This could have contributed to the cooler $T_{TDIEL}$ and $T_{DAYER}$ in the harvested catchment throughout this study, but was less important in the original Alsea study (1960s) due to the lack of riparian vegetation and shade. Finally, substantial groundwater contributions and hyporheic exchange can buffer stream temperature patterns by decreasing a stream’s sensitivity to energy inputs (Moore et al., 2005; Moore and Wondzell, 2005) – summer baseflow in the harvested catchment was $\sim 2.4$ times greater in the post-harvest period compared to the pre-harvest period despite similar precipitation inputs during these two time periods. Moreover, streamflow in our study catchments is known to be dominated by slow, deep flowpaths (i.e., cool groundwater) due to high permeability sandstone geology of the region (Hale and McDonnell, 2016). Thus, in catchments with less groundwater or hyporheic exchange, the solar radiation that penetrates the RMA and reaches the stream channel may have greater potential to warm stream temperatures.

Single day maximum stream temperatures ($T_{MAX}$) also did not appear to change following contemporary forest harvesting practices in this location, likely due to similar reasons. The warmest $T_{MAX}$ observed in Needle Branch in the pre-harvest period was 15.7°C and in the post-harvest period was 14.7°C, which were both cooler than observations in the unharvested catchment. In comparison, historical forest harvesting (no riparian shade due to complete removal of riparian vegetation) in Needle Branch resulted in an increase in the $T_{MAX}$ from 13.9°C in the pre-harvest period (1959–1965) to 21.7°C (1966) and 29.4°C (1967) in the first two post-harvest years (Brown and Krygier, 1970). In the original Alsea Watershed Study, this substantial increase in $T_{MAX}$ following logging, combined with decreases in dissolved oxygen, was believed to be partially responsible for long-term depression in the cutthroat trout population and reduced numbers of early migrating Coho salmon fry (Hall and Lantz, 1969; Hall, 2008). We interpret the contemporary results and the general lack of observed changes in post-harvesting stream temperature in comparison to historical practices to indicate a substantial improvement in riparian buffer effectiveness in protecting streams against temperature increases.

The Hinkle Creek Paired Watershed Study in the foothills of the western Cascades in southern Oregon also reported on stream temperature response to contemporary forest harvesting practices (Kibler et al., 2013). The observations in the harvested catchment at Hinkle Creek are most comparable to the sub-catchment upstream of NB7 in this study, given that they were also drained by small non-fish bearing tributaries that did not require streamside tree retention under the Oregon Forest Practices Act. Despite the lack of riparian area, the annual $T_{MAX}$ ranged from 2.1°C colder to 1.1°C warmer in the harvested catchments, relative to pre-harvest years (Kibler et al., 2013). The observed cooling was attributed to shading provided by a layer of logging slash that was deposited over the streams during harvesting, and to increased summer baseflows, similar to Needle Branch. In a broader analysis of stream temperature data from 33 sites in the Oregon Coast Range, average summer $T_{MAX}$ increased by $\sim 0.7°C$ (range: $-0.9$ to $2.5°C$) in small and medium private forest streams adjacent to cutblocks with 15 m and 21 m RMAs, respectively (Groom et al., 2011). While these changes in stream temperature were also an improvement over historic management practices, similar to our study, Groom et al. (2011) also showed that state forest streams, which require wider buffers, were more effective at maintaining stream temperatures similar to reference conditions. Similarly, other recent studies in the Pacific Northwest have observed increases in $T_{MAX}$ of 0.2–2.4°C following contemporary forest harvesting (Gomi et al., 2006; Pollock et al., 2009; Janisch et al., 2012).

In the present study there was also little evidence that diel stream temperature ($T_{TDIEL}$) changed after forest harvesting (Table 5). Average $T_{TDIEL}$ decreased by $-0.2°C$ at both the uppermost (NB7) and lower (NB2) stream reaches in Needle Branch after harvesting; however, $T_{TDIEL}$ increased $\sim 0.1°C$ at the middle reach (NB6), which is at the outlet of the cutblock. Alternatively, $T_{TDIEL}$ decreased by $\sim 0.4°C$ across all of the reference catchment sites over the same time period (Fig. 8). While this could be evidence for greater day-to-day variability in stream temperature following forest harvesting at Needle Branch the difference in $T_{TDIEL}$ from the pre- to the post-harvest period was not statistically significant when compared with paired sites in Flynn Creek. Moreover, this observation is counter to the original Alsea Watershed Study, where maximum $T_{TDIEL}$ increased considerably in the harvested catchment, Needle Branch, by $\sim 12.3°C$ from the pre-harvest years to the post-harvest years (3.3–15.6°C), while decreasing $\sim 0.5°C$ (3.9–3.4°C) in Flynn Creek over that same period (Brown and Krygier, 1970; Moring, 1975). Recent studies have shown more variable responses in $T_{TDIEL}$ trends, with some trends higher, some lower, and some unchanged (Groom et al., 2011; Cole and Newton, 2013). Given that diel fluctuations have the potential to influence aquatic organisms whose growth is regulated by temperature (Hokanson et al., 1977; McCullough et al., 2009), contemporary forest harvesting practices appear to be much more effective than historical practices at maintaining thermal stability after forest harvest, with concomitant maintenance of aquatic ecosystem health.

5. Conclusions

This unique study, allowed us to re-visit the same research catchments that were harvested and studied in the 1960s to investigate how the shade provided by riparian management areas—required by 21st century forest management practices—mitigated stream temperature warming following forest harvesting. Our study indicates that the enhancements in stream buffering in Oregon over the past $\sim 50$ years can reduce the stream temperature changes that can occur following forest harvesting as compared to historical practices. In comparison to the original Alsea Watershed Study (i.e., no riparian vegetation), the more recent harvesting practices (e.g., retention of riparian vegetation for shade provision) appear to provide vastly improved protection for stream water temperatures. However, our results need to be interpreted with caution as several factors may have contributed to a more muted stream temperature response to forest harvesting than might occur in other regions, including (a) north-south stream orientation, which would maximize RMA effectiveness, (b) steep catchment and channel slopes that can increase stream velocity and hyporheic exchange, and (c) potential increases in groundwater contributions after harvesting. These factors do not make it
possible to interpret our observations as indication that current RMA regulations are broadly effective – beyond Oregon Coast Range catchments with similar geology and physiography – at minimizing stream temperature increases following forest harvesting. For example, research on impacts of contemporary forest harvesting on stream temperature from other regions indicate highly variable responses and remaining opportunities for improved practices. More detailed examination of local RMA and stream channel conditions, such as shade, aspect, slopes, soils, lithology, and groundwater-surface water interactions are needed to decipher the site-specific conditions that are desirable for mitigation of impacts on stream temperature.
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